Evaluation Outline for Unemployment Compensation Claims Filed Under the Interstate Benefit Payment Plan



U.S. Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration 1991

INTRODUCTION

The Interstate Benefit Payment Program operates under the Interstate Benefit Payment Plan, a voluntary agreement between the States, which provides a method for the payment of unemployment compensation benefits to those unemployed individuals who have been employed in covered employment and have unused wages or have valid claims on file and who otherwise may be deprived of benefits because of their absence from the State in which their employment and wages or benefit credits were accumulated.

Except for minor modifications, the program operated under the same procedures from 1950 thru March 1983. Effective April 1983, major changes were made to the interstate procedures in preparation for the implementation of the use of the Interstate Telecommunications Network (INTERNET) for transmitting claims and information pertaining to claims. A Sub-Committee of the Interstate Benefit Committee of ICESA and a workgroup of State and Federal technicians was convened to develop this review guide to provide a uniform monitoring instrument to the States in order for States to monitor the program in the same manner and thereby be able to provide uniform information upon which the Committee could base its deliberations.

This revision is to accommodate the forms revisions that have occurred since the original issuance.

AGENT OPERATIONS

To determine the quality of agent operation, a review of the following areas is suggested. States should select a sample in each area that is representative of the universe.

1. CLAIMSTAKING

- a. <u>Initial claims (new)</u>. Review claims from a recent 3 month period to determine if claim forms were properly completed and all information provided as requested by the liable State.
- b. Initial claims (Add'1). Same as above.
- c. Initial claims (reopen/transfer). Same as above.
- d. <u>Interstate Eliqibility Review, Form IB-10 (filed with IB-1)</u>. Review forms to determine if forms were properly completed, potential issues identified and appropriate factfinding forwarded to the liable State.

2. FACTFINDING

- a. Claimant/Employer Separation Statement, Form IB-3. Review each form to determine if it was appropriately used according to the liable State's option; legibly completed and provided sufficient information concerning any possible issue, including interviewer comments, as appropriate; and, transmitted to the employer and liable State as required.
- b. Factfinding Report, Form IB-11S. Review forms to determine if the forms were properly completed and legible; provided sufficient information concerning the separation, including interviewer comments, as appropriate; and, if the quality of the information provided is affected by who completes the form.
- 3. <u>BENEFITS RIGHTS INTERVIEW</u>. Observe BRIs to determine if the appropriate instructions, explanations and forms were provided to the claimant.

4. ELIGIBILITY REVIEW PROGRAM

- a. Review the offices procedures for scheduling/making appointments, conducting ER interviews, and providing information, including failures to report to the liable State.
- b. Observe interviews to determine the adequacy of interviewer preparation and knowledge; proper completion of the forms, including the Form IB-10A, and whether all procedures were followed.

5. MONETARY REDETERMINATION

Review Request for Reconsideration, Form IB-14 to determine if the forms were properly completed and appropriate documentation and separation information provided when necessary.

6. <u>APPEALS</u>. Review Notice of Appeal, Form IB-101 to determine if they were properly completed and appropriately used.

INTERSTATE AGENT OPERATIONS PERFORMANCE SCORING

	=======================================	*========	=============	
Performance	No. Cases	No. Cases	Column 3 as	Performance Score
Review Area	Reviewed	Inadequate	a percent of	(100% minus Col.4)
	1.		Column 2	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
*===========	=======================================	========	=========	=========
INITIAL CLAIMS	l .			i
Initial claims(New)			<u> </u>	ĹÍ
	1			
Initial claims(add'1)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1	·		
<pre>Initial claims(reopen)</pre>	<u> </u>		l	<u> </u>
				ĺ
Form IB-10 (with IB-1)				ļ
TELECOMMUNICATION				
				i
Initial claims, IB-1			<u> </u>	
FACTFINDING	1			
Clt/Emp Sep. Form IB-3	l			i
	1			
Sep. FF Report, IB-115	1	<u> </u>	<u>[</u>	
	1			
FF Report, IB-11	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
BRI	1			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
ELIG. REVIEW INTERVIEW				
Form IB-10	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
	1			
Form IB-10A	<u> </u>	<u>L</u>	L	<u> </u>
REDETERMINATION	1			
Form IB-14	1	<u> </u>		Ĺi
APPEALS				
IB-101	<u>L</u>		<u> </u>	

Scoring Symbols:

Y = Yes

N = No

I = Illegible

C = Not completed

NA = Not applicable

AGENT OPERATION - MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL

		Date:
	Loc	al Office: Reviewer:
1.		erstate handbooks:
	a.	Unemployment Compensation Claims Filed Under The Interstate Benefit Payment Plan - ET Handbook 392:
		(1) Is there a control which indicates the number of the most recent handbook change filed in the Handbook? If, "no", how does the office identify missing handbook transmittals
		(2) How many Handbooks are available in the office? (3) Do all Handbooks contain the most recent pages transmitted?
	b.	Handbook for Interstate Claimstaking:
		(1) Is there a control which indicates the most recent transmittal filed in the Handbook? If, "no", how does office identify missing transmittals?
		(2) How many Handbooks are available in the office? (3) Do all Handbooks contain the most recent pages transmitted? (4) Were all outdated pages removed from all Handbooks?
	c.	Central Listing of Vessels:
		Is the most recent issuance of the Maritime "Central Listing Of Vessels" readily accessible to all Claimstakers? If "no", how is State of Coverage for vessels obtained?
	đ.	Handbook for Interstate Overpayment Recovery:
		(1) Does the office maintain a Handbook to determine which States will assist with overpayment recovery and what documents need to be forwarded with assistance requests? YesNo If "No", does the State request assistance from other States? Yes No If "Yes", how are requests handled?
		(2) Is there a control which indicates the most recent transmittal filed in the Handbook? Yes No If "No", how does State identify missing transmittals?
		(3) How many Handbooks are available in the office? (4) Do all Handbooks contain the most recent pages transmitted? (5) Were all outdated pages removed from all Handbooks?

AGENT OPERATION - MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL

Continuation

2.	INTERSTATE	? FORMS

Complete the following to determine if all forms are current and readily available:

Enter Date of Current Form from ET Handbook 392	Curr	ent?	Avail	able?
TOTAL FLORE BY HANGBOOK 572	YES	<u>NO</u>	YES	<u>NO</u>
IB - 1		·		
IB - 2				_
IB - 3				
IB - 7				
IB - 10				
IB - 10A	·			_
IB - 11		,—		
IB - 11S				
IB - 14			·	
IB - 16				
	-			
IB - 101				· —
INTERSTATE STAFF				

3.

a.	What	is	the	approximate	number	of	staff	handling	interstate	claims?	
----	------	----	-----	-------------	--------	----	-------	----------	------------	---------	--

h	What is the	average length of UI experience of staff person(s)
υ.	Miler To Flie	average rength of or experience of start person(s)
	responsible	for taking interstate initial claims?

c.	What	is	the	local	office	procedure	for	providing	training	to staff	new
	to th	ne i	Inter	state	program	a?					

đ.	What is the local	office procedure	for providing	on-going training to	ļ
	interstate staff?				

4. FACTFINDING

a) Is factfinding conducted and the documents completed by an individual who has received formal training in factfinding for all separation and/or nonseparation issues? (IB-3, IB-11 and IB-115) ?

INTERSTATE INITIAL CLAIMS - BENEFITS RIGHTS INFORMATION (Observe at Initial Claims Interview or Group BRI)

	Local Office:	1 Office: Date:								ate:						
				R	evie	ær:										
===						·										
•	(Enter SSA NUMBER)	j)) 							
					!					ĺ						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10					
		===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===					
BEN	EFITS RIGHTS INTERVIEW															
	(Observation)			· -				 								
1.	Were the following forms given to the claimant? a. Form IB-7?					İ										
	b. Form IB-2 (2 with envelopes)?															
2.	Were verbal or written instructions given to the claimant re: a. Form IB-7?															
•	b. Form IB-2?															
3.	Was the relationship between the agent and liable State explained?							 		-						
4.	Were services available through the agent local office explained?		-													
5.	Were Job Service registration and reporting explained?															
6.	Was claimant's responsibility to comply with agent reporting instructions explained?															
7.	Were the eligibility review process and interview scheduling procedures explained?															
8.	Was claimant advised of need to follow liable State instructions?															
-==								-								

INITIAL CLAIMS - BRI COMMENTS SHEET

Loca	1 Office:					Date:	
						Reviewer	:
 l.	SSA			*******	===== Name_	 	 =======================================
•							
					·		
2.	SSA		<u>-</u>		Name_		
. 1							
3.	SSA	-	-		Name_	 	
•							
		4					
4.	SSA				Name_		 ·
							. •
5.	SSA	_	- ,		Name		

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE INITIAL CLAIMS (Exclude additional and reopen claims) FORM IB-1

			Dat	e:						
Local Office:			Rev	/iewe	er:					
(Enter claimant's name and social security number)										
	l					ļ .	[
	==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
(Enter name of liable State)				i i	j		i ,			! .
	==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
1. Was social security number verified?				i '	i					i .
2. a. Is mailing address shown in item		L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		L	<u> </u>
	j :		[] 		}	i i			
3 a P.O. box number?	!			<u> </u>		<u> </u>				<u> </u>
b. If "yes", was a residence address	!		ļ i							
shown in "remarks"?		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u></u>
3. Does item C, liable State name and			1				! !			
FIPS Code agree?	ļ									
4. Does FIPS information provided in	}					1			1	Ì
item E agree with residence address?]	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			السيا	<u> </u>
5. Does the effective date shown in			1							
item B reflect the information	Ì	•	l	i	1	1	1			1
in items A and/or D?		j	İ	İ	j	İ	İ			j
6. If the "Reason for backdating" shown		i	î		ì		i			
in item D is a Code 6, was an	i		i			i	•			i
explanation provided?]]		; ·	i].]	i
7. If item I indicated a Code 1, was	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u></u>	نـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	
an IB-3, IB-11S or IB-11 completed	<u> </u>	[i 1	! ! .			i 		i :	!
and attached to the IB-1?	ľ] 1					! !
and attached to the 18-17	!		-		<u> </u>	L	 ===			<u> </u>
	!	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
8. Claimant Entitlement (Items 8, 9, 10,	ļ .	!	ļ.	!	!	ļ				ļ
<u>11, 12)</u>	!	!	ļ	[ļ	ļ			!
a. If item 8 was answered "Yes", was	!	!	!		!		!			ļ
appropriate information provided		l	ļ						1	!
in "remarks"?		1	ł			1			ļ .	1
b. If item 9 was answered "Yes" or	•		1							
"No" in an asterisked box, was	l	L	L			<u> </u>				<u> </u>
sufficient Alien registration	1	1	I	1	1	1				<u> </u>
documentation provided, including	Ī	Ì	İ	Ì		ĺ			ľ	1
information to determine if clai-	-	i	i	i	j	i	i			i
mant had permission to work dur-	i	i	i	i	, '	i	<u>'</u>			i
ing base period and thereafter?	i	<u>'</u>	;	:			, · ·			ì
c. If item 10 was answered "Yes",	_	 	 		 	 				
was factfinding provided?		! 		: 	<u> </u>	i	; [
		<u> </u>	 		<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>			 -
d. If item 11 was answered "Yes",		<u> </u>	į	ľ	į i	ļ	j i			
was factfinding provided?	!	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 	لنسا	<u></u>	<u> </u>
e. If item 12 was answered "Yes",					!	ļ	ļ			!
was the information required by	Į	ļ	Į	ļ .	!	!		!	!	
the IB Handbook provided?	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
	_		-	-	-	-	-	- 1		

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE INITIAL CLAIMS (Exclude additional and reopen claims) FORM IB-1

Continuation

=====						,				_	
		1	2	1 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
-		===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
9. <u>C</u>	laimant Entitlement (Items 8,9,10, 11,12,14 cont'd If item 14 was marked "Yes", was City, County and State provided?			 	 	 	 	 - -	 		
		<u> </u> -	 	 	 	-	-	┼─	 	+	1
	Does the work history provided cover the past 24 month period as requested? Were all items for each employer		 	! ! !		 			 	 	
c.	complete?		<u> </u>	! <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>!</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
-	legible?		 	<u> </u>	! !	<u> </u>	! 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
₫.	Does the employment history support the entry(s) in item K?] 			 	 	
e.		20 20 - 20		 							
	Was an IB-11S completed for all separations as required by the liable State?			! ! !							
g.	If there is a separation issue shown in item 15, is item I marked "Yes"?			 					 		
11. CI	AIMSTAKING: (Items 17,18,19,20,K)	===	===	=== 	===	===	222	=== 	=== 	===	==
a.	indicated employment in more than one State, was item 18 answered?										
	<pre>(1) If "Yes", was item K "CWC" checked as appropriate?</pre>			 							
	(2) If item 18 was answered "No", was the IB-1 annotated that the claimant rejected a CWC claim?										
b.											;
	(1) Was Form ES 935 completed and attached to IB-1?							*			; !
c. đ.											i
12		===	===	===	*==	===	===	===	===	===	==
12. TE	(shown at bottom of IB-1, page 1)						-				1
=====	, the same as date in Item A?										!

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE INITIAL CLAIMS ADDITIONAL CLAIMS ONLY

			Dat	e:						
Local Office:			Rev	iewe	r:		· ····			
2202222222222222222222222222222222										
	! 								 	
(Enter name & social security no.)							i			
,					i			i	i	
		-								
	==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
(Enter name of liable State)							d	1.00		
*****************	==	2		===	_	=== 6	=== 7	=== 8		
			3 ===	===	5 ===	- 1		- 1		10
1. Was social security number verified?										
2. a. Is mailing address shown in item	i —									
2 a P.O. box number?	İ								لــــــا	i
b. If "yes", was a residence shown										
in "remarks"?	! <u></u> -	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						
3. Was appropriate information entered in Item 8?	ļ			i			ļ			
4. In Item C, does liable State name and	¦	<u> </u>						<u>. </u>		
FIPS Code agree?	i	İ		·					i	
5. Does residence State name and FIPS	i		l							
Code in Item E agree with Item 3?	Ì								لــــا	<u>_</u> i
6. Does the effective date shown in		!	!							
Item B reflect the information in	ļ	!								
Items A and/or D? 7. If the "Reason for backdating"	!	<u> </u>						<u></u>		
shown in Item D is a Code 6, was		! !	[]							
an explanation provided?	i	i								
8. If Item I indicate "Yes", was an	i	1	1							
IB-3, IB-11S or IB-11 completed and	ŀ	1			j l					
attached to the IB-1?	<u> </u>	<u> </u>								<u></u> !
	==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
9. Work Record: (Item 15) a. Does the work history provided		i I	l						i I	
cover the period since the "last		i							i i	
claim filed" (Item 8)?	i	i							Li	
b. Were all items for each employer	!	l								
complete?	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
c. Were all items for each employer		•] ' ;		 				j 1	
legible? d. For last employer, was an IB-3	<u> </u> -	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		-	L		
or IB-11S completed and mailed	i	<u>.</u>			İ					
according to the liable State's	j	i	i '	j	i				i i	
Option?		<u> </u>		<u></u>	L					
e. Was an IB-11S completed for all	ļ .	ļ	!	!					!!!	
other separations as required by	!	ļ	ļ		i		i		j j	
the liable State? f. If there is a separation issue	!	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>		<u></u>	 	 	-
shown in item 15, is Item I	1	i] . 		i		į ,i	
marked "Yes"?	i	j	i	1	•					
										<u>'</u>

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE INITIAL CLAIMS ADDITIONAL CLAIMS ONLY (Exclude new and reopen claims) FORM IB-1

Continuation - Page 3

=======================================									,		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
=======================================		==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
		==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	== [
10. CLAIMSTAKING:	(Items 8,16,17,20 and I)										
a. Was required in Item 8?	information shown		*. I						 - 		
indicated an properly code explained on	issue, was item issue, was item I ed and the issue an IB-ll or in item										
16 of the IB- c. Were all appr and attached	ropriate forms used	 						-			
d. Was Item 17 s	signed by claimant?										Ξi
e. Was Item 20 :	signed by claimstaker										
	=======================================	==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
11. TELECOMMUNICATION	V:]] 							
(shown at both	ommunication date ttom of IB-1, Page 1) date in Item A?										
	of days from date in te telecommunicated.										

COMMENTS/NOTES

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE INITIAL CLAIMS REOPEN CLAIMS ONLY (Exclude new and additional claims) FORM IB-1

			Date	;;						-
Local Office:			Rev	Lewe	:					
(Enter name & social security no.)										
=====(Enter name of liable State)========		===	===	===	===	*==	===	222	222	==
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 ===	8	9	10
1. Was social security number verified?	i								Ĺİ	
2. a. Is mailing address shown in item 2 a P.O. box number?	[ļ .							
b. If "yes", was a residence shown in "remarks"?		<u> </u>] 	 	 					
3. Was appropriate information entered in Item 8?	<u> </u>		i							
4. If entry in Item 8 indicates claimant failed to report during the claims series, was an IB-11 completed or the FTR explained in Item 16?										
5. If entry in Item 8 indicates that claimant moved during the claim series, was appropriate information concerning the period of the move provided to the liable State?										
6. Does Item C liable State name and FIPS Code agree?	<u>i</u>	l I	İ	1			 			
7. Does Item E residence name and FIPS Code agree with Item 3?	<u> </u>		i i]		
8. Does the effective date shown in Item B reflect the information in Items A and/or D?	; 		 	 			 			
9. Is the "Reason for backdating" shown in Item D a Code 6?		 	 	 			 			
a. If "Yes", was an explanation provided in Item 16 or on an IB-11?							 	 		

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE INITIAL CLAIMS REOPEN CLAIMS ONLY (Exclude new and additional claims) FORM IB-1

Continuation

====	===											
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
9.	Cla	imant Entitlement:	ĺ				Ì	Ì				İ
		(Items 11 and 12)				i	1				Ì	İ
			ĺ	,							İ	ĺ
	a.	If Item 12 was answered "Yes",								Ì	İ	İ
		and the information required by	1								ĺ	İ
		the ICT Handbook has not been	ĺ									İ
		provided, was it provided?	<u> </u>									
	b.	If Item 11 was marked "Yes",	1									
		was the information required by								1	i 1	
		liable State provided?	l							<u> </u>		<u></u>
10.	El	igibility Requirements:	ļ		!	!						
			ļ			[.						
	a.	If the response to any Item	!									
		indicated an issue, was Item I	ļ.	[[
		properly coded and the issue	<u> </u>		ļ							!!
		explained on an IB-ll or in Item	!		ļ		ļ i					
		16 of the IB-1?	<u>!</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u></u>			<u> </u> !
11	_	Was Then 17 planed by slaimant?		i :	i							
11.		Was Item 17 signed by claimant? Was Item 20 signed by	!—	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		L	<u></u>	l		
	В.	was Item 20 signed by claimstaker?]] 		i . i				
		Claimstaker;	ļ	 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	[] [L		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
===:	===		! ! ==	 ===	! ===	 ===	 ===	 ===	! ! === i	 -==	 ===	
12.	TEI.	ECOMMUNICATION:	; }	! !		 						
			i		i	i :						
	a.	Is the telecommunication date,	i	i	i							
		at bottom of IB-1, page 1, the	i	i	i i	i						
		same as date in Item A?	i	i .	i	i						i
	b.	Enter number of days between the	Ī		1							ı—i
		at bottom of IB-1, page 1, the	Ì	İ	İ	İ					j	i
		date in Item A and the date	ĺ		İ	İ						İ
		telecommunicated.		İ	ĺ	1					İ	İ
===:	===		<u> </u>		<u> </u>						<u> </u>	قـــا

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE INITIAL - ELIGIBILITY REVIEW FORM (Exclude forms completed for scheduled interview) FORM IB-10

			Da	te:						
Local Office:			Re	view	er:_					
(Enter name & social security number)										
	== 1	=== 2	===	=== 4	=== 5	===	=== 7	=== 8	===	== 10
Items 1 - 12	== 	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	
1. Do the entries in items 1 and 2 agree with the information on the Form IB-1?	 									
2. a. Were all potential issues identified and a Form IB-11 completed?	 									
b. Was Form IB-10 signed and dated by the claimant?							,			
1tem 13	==	===	253	222	===	===	===	===	===	==
1. Was Item 13 completed by the local office?										
2. Was info provided in "Comments" or an IB-11 completed for all negative responses?										

ANALYSIS OF CLAIMANT/EMPLOYER SEPARATION STATEMENT (FORM IB-3)

			Dat							
Local Office:			Rev	/iewe	::					
	_									=
							l i			
] [
(Enter name &		1 1		1			.			
social security number)									Ì	
									Ì	ĺ
	İ								İ	Ì
	==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
(Enter liable State Abbreviation)	i				j	i			i	
	==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
#4.0##6.1##6##############################	==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
1. Is liable State Option 1, 2, 3?	j	i								
2. Should this form have been used?	i —									
	==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
3. CLAIMANT INFORMATION (Items 1-4):										
or continuit intotalition (Items I 4).	i									
a. Does the info match the IB-1?	! !	! ! !) ; } ;			
b. Was the employer address	¦—			1						
complete?) ! 		! !	 					1
	¦	===	===		===	===	===	===	===	 ==
4. SEPARATION INFORMATION (Items 5-16):		! - ! !)	,						
T. BEFARTION INFORMATION (ITEMS 5 10).	: 	! !		,				i 		
a. Was information requested by	: !			 					i . I	
Items 6 - 11 provided?		! i				1				
b. Was separation information	:		 -	 		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		L	<u> </u>
provided as required by the	! !									
liable State, including any		! }] 	 		 			l i	
additional information on a]) 		 		 	i	
IB-11 or 115 (see IB Handbook)?	•			; ;			İ) : 	i I	
c. Was IB-3 signed and dated by	!		L	<u> </u>		L	<u> </u>			
the claimant?		! ! ! !	[- [[1		[
	¦		===	 ===	===	===				
CLAIMSTAKING INFORMATION (Item 17										
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	i i	!	! !]]		[;			
thru "Notice to Employer"):	! !	1		! !	! !	! !) 	
a. Was mailing date (Item 17) same			! !	•					. !	
a. Was mailing date (Item 17) same as date taken (Item A on IB-1)?	I									
	<u> </u>			<u>. </u>	 	 	 -	<u> </u>		
	!	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		 -	 			 -
c. Were Items 19 & 20 completed?	!	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 	 	<u></u>	-
d. Was the information provided			!	! !	<u> </u>					
under "Notice to Employer" as	Ī		ľ	! !		ļ	i L			
required by the liable State?	!	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
e. Was the return address of the	ļ		!	i	ļ	!	<u> </u>			
appropriate liable State checked	į	!	!	ļ	!	[
or entered?	!	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 	 		
	Į.		l L	 	<u> </u>	j L			ļ i	

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE SEPARATION FACTFINDING REPORT FORM IB-11S

				Dat	te:						
	Local Office			Rev	/iewo	er:_					
	(Enter name & social security number)										
===	(Enter liable State Abbreviation)	= = = 				===	===	===	===	===	==
1.	Should this form have been used?	1	2	3	4	5 ===	6 ===	7 ===	===	9	10
2.	Does the claimant identification match the IB-1?										
3.	Was information provided in Items 1-5?										
4.	Was the information provided in Items 6, 7, 8 and/or 10 as required by the liable State?										
5.	Was form signed and dated:	 									
	a. By claimant?										i
	b. By claimstaker/adjudicator?			i						i	i
===			لــــا	لــــــا	للسلط		i	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	<u> </u>		

COMMENTS/NOTES:

AGENT - INTERSTATE ELIGIBILITY REVIEW PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL

		Da	ite:		
	Loca	al Office:Re	viewer:		
===	======================================		:	AR2	NO
1.		s local office conduct interviews when reques	ted		
2.	Does	s the local office verify work search shown of	on IB-10?	~~~	
3.		there a State policy that prohibits work sear ification?	ch	-	
4.	Has	the local office ER interviewer received ER	training?		_
5.		s the local office maintain a written schedul gibility Review Interview appointments?	e of		_
	a.	If "No", how are appointments scheduled?		·	
	b.	How does local office know when a claimant has failed to report for an interview?			
	c. .	Does local office notify liable State of failures to report?			
	đ.	If interviews are not scheduled within 10 darequest, is liable State notified of Appt.			_
COM	==== Ment	======================================	: 2222222		

A-16

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE BLIGIBILITY REVIEW INTERVIEW FORMS IB-10 and IB-10A

			שמ							
Local Office:			Rev	/iewe	er:				··.	
(Enter name &]]							
social security number)										
social security number,							ļ. 1			
				i						
4_2245786664228468846884688462626382288	===	===	222	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
(Enter liable State abbreviation)				i				i	i	
242224444224422442444444	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
	1	2	3	4	5	6		8	9	
	===	===	===	===	===	===	*==	===	===	==
(Enter initials of interviewer)										
	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
PREPARATION FOR INTERVIEW (Observation)								ļ		
l. Did Interviewer review claimant's								. !		
file, including all prior IB-10s							i i		ļ	
and related documents?		i (! ! ! !					
24224224224224242424242422222222222222	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
Items 1 - 12 (Review IB-10 and related		i								
and related documents.)										
				i	i				1	
1. Were responses to all items clear,		İ		j				İ		
legible, and complete?]		<u> </u>				L			L
2. a. Were all potential issues in		-								
Items 4 - 11 identified and a									•	
Form IB-11 completed?	<u> </u>									
b. Was form signed and dated by the claimant?										
Cidimant;		===	===	===		===		===	===	
WORK SEARCH PLAN] ——— [] 			 					
WORN DESIREST FEET	i	1	,							
1. Were the following discussed and			i .	i			r			
documented:	į .	i i						i	Ì	
a. Methods of seeking work?	i									
b. Boundaries of labor market area?			<u> </u>							
c. Types of employers in area that	•		1	1						
employ individuals with	ţ									
claimant's skills?	<u> </u>	Ļ		<u> </u>						L_
d. Prevailing wage in area for	•		!							
claimant's occupation?	<u>!</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			L				<u> </u>
e. Existing labor market conditions?		i	i i		! i] ·].]		 	i (
2. Was "work search plan" signed by	<u> </u>		 	L		 				
claimant?	i	•	•							

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE ELIGIBILITY REVIEW INTERVIEW

Continuation

===											
INS	TRUCTIONS AND ADVICE PROVIDED	1 ===	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1.	Were Items 1 - 6 completed?	<u> </u>								7	
2.	<pre>Was item 7 or an IB-11 completed for potential issue(s)?</pre>	<u> </u>]
3.	If a follow-up interview was scheduled by agent office, was it within 2 weeks of the present ERI date?										
4.	Did interviewer obtain all information requested by the Form IB-10?										
5.	Was the form signed and dated by the interviewer?										
WOR	K SEARCH VERIFICATION - FORM IB-10A										
1.	Were Items A - E completed?										
2.	Did interviewer attempt to contact at least 2 employers and document the results?					-					
3.	Was verification attempted in the presence of the claimant?									 	
4.	Did Interviewer sign and dated form?										

COMMENTS/NOTES

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF MONETARY DETERMINATION/WAGE TRANSFER FORM IB-14

			Dat	:e:						
Local Office:			Rev	/1ewe	er:_		·			
(Enter name & social security number)										
	=== 1 ===	2	3	4	5 ===	6 ===	7	8 ===	9	= = 10 = =
Were items 1 - 7 completed and legible?	 									
Was complete information provided in Items 8 or 9, as appropriate?	 									
3. Was proof provided to support information in Items 8/9 (i.e. W-2, check stubs, etc.)?	 									
If an additional employer was shown in item 9, was separation informa- tion provided as required?	 	 					1			
5. Was complete information provided in Item 12?	<u> </u> 									
6. Was form signed and dated:] 		 							
a. By claimant?	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	 						
b. By claimstaker/adjudicator?	 	<u> </u>	<u> </u> 		<u></u>					<u></u>
COMMENTS/NOTES:		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	L	L	<u> </u>	<u></u> i	

ANALYSIS OF NOTICE OF INTERSTATE APPEAL FORM IB-101

Loc	al Office:			Dat	te:	_					
				Rev	view	er:_					
===			!								
	(Enter name &	i	i .		i ·	i	i	ĺ			
	social security number)	i	i		i	i	i	i		ĺ	
		İ	j		İ	i		i			
							İ .	į ,	j		i
===		===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
	(Enter liable State abbreviation)		<u> </u>								
		===	= = = 2	===	===	_	===		===	_	
] <u> </u>		3 ===	4	5	D	7	8 ===	9	10
]							 		==
1.	Where Items 1 - 4 completed?	i									
_]									
2.	Was the reason for appeal provided										
	in Item 5, or an attachment?										!
3.	Was form or attachment signed by		i		İ						
	claimant?							! 			
4.	If liable State requires Request		i							i	
	for Reconsideration before appeal,		1	i . i		j				i	
٠	was appeal from a redetermination?									نــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	i
E	Wone Thoma 7 16 annulated			. !						1	
J.	Were Items 7 - 16 completed as appropriate?									ļ	إ
===:	appropriate;									<u></u>	

COMMENTS/NOTES:

INTERSTATE LIABLE OFFICE REVIEW

To determine the quality of the liable office's operation, review of records as indicated below is suggested:

- 1. First Payments. Review recent untimely first payments. Determine reason for late payment. Determine if controllable or uncontrollable by liable office.
- 2. <u>Subsequent Payments</u>. Review recent subsequent payments to determine if payments were authorized within 48 hours of receipt.
- 3. <u>Payment Processing</u>. Make a flow chart of first and subsequent payment processing. Note any bottlenecks or delaying procedures.
- 4. <u>Initial Form IB-10</u>. Review forms to determine if all issues were noted and adjudicated.
- 5. <u>ER Interview Forms IB-10, IB-10A, IB-10B</u>. Review cases to determine if all issues were noted and adjudicated.
- 6. Form IB-13 Memorandums/Electronic Messages. Review memos or messages for necessity, timeliness of preparation, length and content of message.
- 7. <u>Transfers Form IB-1, Additional or Reopen</u>. Review initial claims which involve a transfer of a claim from the intrastate program to determine the timeliness of the transfer request.
- 8. Request for Redetermination (Monetary). Review cases involving a redetermination request (Form IB-14 or letter from claimant) to determine if request was handled properly and promptly.
- 9. Appeals. Review appeals cases (Form IB-101 or letter from claimant) to determine if appeals are processed to the appeals section within 48 hours of receipt.
- 10. <u>Non-Monetary Determinations</u>. Review determinations for timeliness of issuance and factual support of the determination.
- 11. <u>Clerical Support Operation</u>. Review clerical operations for promptness of filing, typing, and matching correspondence and documents. Note any bottlenecks.
- 12. <u>Coordination With Other Support Sections</u>. Review coordination with other supporting sections. Determine if liable claims, payments, wage investigations, appeals, etc. are handled with the same priority as intrastate.
- 13. Benefit Payment Control Program. Briefly describe the State's BPC procedures for interstate claims. (Does State participate in the Interstate Crossmatch and Claimant Locator? How are cases selected for matching and locator? Are all "Hits" investigated? If "no", how are cases selected for investigation?) Examine cases to determine promptness of investigation and collection actions.

INTERSTATE LIABLE OPERATIONS PERFORMANCE SCORING

*****************			T	
	No. Cases	in a second of the second of t	Column 3 as	
Review Area	Reviewed			Score (100%)
			of Column 2	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	========	*********		
FIRST PAYMENT			ļ	
PROMPTNESS				<u> </u>
INITIAL CLAIMS			 	
IB-1s	[
<u> </u>				
FACTFINDING	j			
	i			
Clt/Emp Sep. Form IB-3				
Sep. FF Report, IB-11S	1		<u> </u>	
	(
FF Report, IB-11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			!	
ELIGIBILITY REVIEW PROG				
INTERSTATE MESSAGES			<u> </u>	
TO POUNT INCLIDED			[]	
TELEPHONE INQUIRIES REDETERMINATION		<u> </u>	1	
REDETERMINATION				
Form IB-14			4	·
CHANGE OF ADDRESS				
	i			
FORM IB-16			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
APPEALS				
	İ			
IB-101				
BENEFIT PAYMENT CONTROL		. :		
	-			
CROSSMATCH HITS				-

Scor 1	.ng :	Symb	ols:
--------	-------	------	------

COMMENTS/NOTES:

Y	= Yes		
N	= No		
I	= Illegible		
С	= Not completed		
NA	= Not applicable		
==:	***********	 	.=======

INTERSTATE LIABLE OFFICE EVALUATION MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL

	Date:
	Reviewer:
2222 TNM	erstate handbooks:
INI	ERSIRIE HANDOORS:
a.	The state of the s
	Payment Plan - ET Handbook 392:
	(1) Is there a control log which indicates the number of the most recen
	handbook change filed in the Handbook? If, "no", how does office
	identify missing handbook changes?
	(2) How many Handbooks are available in the office?
	(3) Do all Handbooks contain the most recent updates?
	(4) Were all outdated pages removed from all Handbooks?
ъ.	Handbook for Interstate Claimstaking:
	(1) To the lights Change mention of the words of the
	(1) Is the liable State's section of the Handbook current (based on current laws and requirements)?
	(2) Does the liable State's section conform to the required format and
	Order of Items?
	(3) Does the office maintain a Handbook for reference?
	(4) Is there a control which indicates the most recent transmittal file
	in the Handbook? Yes No If, "no", how does office identify
	missing transmittals?
	(5) How many Handbooks are available in the office?
	(6) Do all Handbooks contain the most recent pages transmitted?
	(7) Were all outdated pages removed from all Handbooks?
c.	Central Listing of Vessels:
	Is the most recent issuance of the Maritime "Central Listing Of Vessels"
	readily accessible? Yes No If "no", How are problems with coverage
	handled?
đ.	Handbook for Interstate Overpayment Recovery:
	(1) Does the office maintain a Handbook to determine which States will
	assist with overpayment recovery and what documents need to be forwarded
	with assistance request? Yes No If "No", how are cases
	handled?
	rialidied;

INTERSTATE LIABLE OFFICE EVALUATION MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL

Continuation

				Continuati	1011		
-===	:==:	=======	=======================================	=======================================	222222222	*********	
ć	i.,	<u>Handbool</u>	k for Interst	ate Overpayment	Recovery - C	ont'd:	
		in the H		rol which indicaNo ?			
		(3) Ho	w many Handbo	oks are available	e in the off	ice?	
				s contain the mo			
		(5) We	re all outdate	ed pages removed	from all Ha	ndbooks?	
2.		INTERST	ATE FORMS				
				ng to determine ily available:	if all forms	in use by	liable office
	7n t 4		nt Date of		Curren	+ 2	
			orm as shown		33.13	<u></u>	
1	in i	ET Handb	ook 392		YES	NO	•
1	IB -	- 10A _					
					•		
;	IB ·	- 8605 _					
	IB -	- 8606 _	·		·		
	===: 7NT!	======= 5/notes:		****	=======================================		
	J.,	37 .10125.					
							,
				,	•		
						·	

INTERSTATE LIABLE OFFICE REVIEW

MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL

		Date:
		Reviewer:
 1.	SUP	<u>ERVISION</u>
	a.	Does the liable office maintain:
	•	(1) Internal office procedures? (How to handle in office) (2) Flow Charts? (3) State operating procedures? (Covering State law) Yes No
		(4) Staffing Charts? YesNo
	b.	Is available equipment adequate for needs?(Phones, desk, etc) Yes No If "No", explain:
	c.	Have any cost saving equipment or procedures (staff or time) been introduced in the unit recently? Yes No If "Yes", describe.
	đ.	Explain how the liable manager insures that performance adheres to procedural requirements, the law, and agency policy:
	e.	How is staff adjusted to changes in workload? Does the manager have the authority to increase or decrease staff?
	f.	Are earned and used, 1st payment performance, non-mon determination timeliness, etc., reports available to liable manager? Yes_ No_
	g.	Have employee performance and production standards been formalized? Yes No
	h.	What type(s) of employee performance and production records are prepared and retained in office for consultation?

INTERSTATE LIABLE OFFICE REVIEW

MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL (COntinuation)

Is formal training provided to interstate staff? Yes 1
(1) If "Yes", enter date of last liable training session: and, describe training given:
(2) If "No", explain how new employees are trained in the law, poland procedures, and how all employees are trained as changes occur.

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE INITIAL CLAIM (Form IB-1 - New and Transitional)

			Da	ate:	-					
			Re	evie	ær:_					
(Enter name & social security no.)										
(Enter Abbr. of agent State)	== 	===	===	===				===		==
*****************************	1 ==	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	== 10 ==
1. Monetary Data:										
a. Does the most recent monetary determination consider response to Items 13?	 	2 -								
b. Based upon all available information:									-	
(1) Is this the appropriate liable State?	 									
(2) Is this the appropriate type of claim?										<u> </u>
c. Is the effective date of the claim shown on the monetary the same as the effective date shown on the IB-1 in Item B?										
(1) If "No", was an appealable determination issued?				•						
d. Was "Notice of Filing" or request for wage or separation information sent to all appropriate employers?										
					<u> </u>					

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE INITIAL CLAIM (Form IB-1 - New and Transitional)

Continuation

						Date	»:			
						Rev	lewe	·:		
***************************************	1 ==	2 ===	3	4	5 ===	6 ===	7 ===	8 ===	9 ===	10
 Claimant Entitlement - (Items 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 15): 		! !	 	 						
a. Did the response to any of the items listed above indicate a potential issue?	 -	 	 						 	
b. If "Yes" above, was claim referred to an adjudicator for review?	-	 	 	 		 				
3. Claims Processing:							- 1			
a. If Item 14 was marked "Yes", was appropriate action taken?	-	 	 	 	 	 -				
<pre>b. If Item 18 was marked "Yes", was an IB-4 sent to the appropriate State(s)?</pre>	 									
c. If Item 15 and 19 indicate UCFE wages, was Form ES-931 sent to the Federal agency?] 	<u> </u>					
d. Was Item 17 signed and dated by the claimant?	 	! ! !		 						
e. If no signature in Items 17 or 20 or accompanying documents, was appropriate action taken?				 - 					 	
4. Adjudication:	ļ	! !		 				- [
a. Were all separation and non- separation issues identified and adjudicated?	 			 						
b. If adjudication resulted in a denial, was an appealable determination issued?	! ! !			 						

COMMENTS/NOTES

FIRST PAYMENT PROMPTNESS

	Reviewer											
	<u>i</u> _	(Ent	er nar	ne & :	social	. sec	rity	numbe	er be	Low)		
		1										
WAGE RECORD STATE		!										
THE RECORD STREET	į	į										
		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
L. Eff. date of claim	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			 							
2. Date claim taken	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l L									
3. Date claim rec'd	<u> </u>									<u></u>		
1. Time lapse (2 to 3)	<u> </u>			<u> </u>						<u></u>		
Date monetary processed	<u>Ļ_</u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u></u>	<u> </u>				
6. Time lapse (3 to 5)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					l L	<u> </u>				
7. Date monetary mailed	<u> </u>		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	! !				
3. Time lapse (5 to 7)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>								
9. W/E date of 1st payment	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				! !			 		
10. Date cont'd claim rec'd	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					<u></u>					
ll. Date payment authorized	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
12. Time lapse (10 to 11)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
l3. Date payment mailed	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	 			<u> </u> 	! 				
14. Time lapse (11 to 13)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	! !	<u> </u>		<u> </u>					
15. Time lapse (9 to 13)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		. 	<u> </u>		
L6. Agent State	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			! 	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		

COMMENTS

FIRST PAYMENT PROMPTNESS

Date

	Reviewer												
	<u> </u>	(Enter	name	& 50	cial	secui	ity r	umber	belo) (W			
			-										
REQUEST REPORTING STATE] . 					i						
	 	1 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
l. Eff. date of claim		<u> </u>								10			
2. Date claim taken													
3. Date claim received]											
4. Date wage request mailed													
5. Time lapse (3 to 4)		 											
6. Date wage response received		 				<u> </u>							
7. Time lapse (4 to 6)						 							
8. Date monetary processed				 		 							
9. Time lapse (6 to 8)													
10. Date monetary mailed	<u> </u>	<u> </u>											
ll. W/E date of 1st payment	<u> </u>												
12. Date cont'd claim rec'd	! !	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		 							
13. Date payment authorized	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	! !							
14. Time lapse (12 to 13)		<u> </u>		<u> </u> 		<u> </u>							
15. Date payment mailed	Ļ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	! !	<u> </u>							
16. Time lapse (13 to 15)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	! 		<u> </u>							
17. Time lapse (11 to 15)	<u> </u> _	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	! !				<u> </u>			
18. Agent State	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	! !	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	! !	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
								f					

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE CLAIMS CLAIMANT/EMPLOYER SEPARATION STATEMENT, FORM IB-3

						Date:					
**************************								i I			
(Enter name & social security no.)											
	==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==	
(Enter agent State abbreviation)										İ	
	==	===	===	===	===	=== 6	7	=== 8			
	==		3	===			, ,		9 ===	10	
					i					i	
1. If a Form IB-3 was not attached to the IB-1, did liable unit send a notice of filing or a request for separation information to the employer?											
2. If issue was indicated, was it referred to adjudication?											
3. If additional information or clarification was needed, was it requested:					-						
(1) From the employer?				-						_!	
(2) From the claimant?										!	
4. Was telephone used to obtain additional information?										 !	
5. If adjudication resulted in a denial or employer charges, was an appealable determination issued?											

COMMENTS/NOTES:

ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE SEPARATION FACTFINDING REPORT, FORM IB-11S

						Date				
						Rev	lewe	r:		
(Enter name & social security no.)										
/855	==	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
(Enter agent State abbreviation)	==	===	===	===	===	 ===	===	 ===	 ===	 == 1
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	= = 	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	===	==
<pre>l. Did the Form IB-llS provide sufficient information upon which to base a determination?</pre>										
2. If "No", Was additional information requested?]
3. Did liable State use telephone to obtain necessary information?	 							 	 	—
4. If adjudication resulted in a denial or employer charges, was an appealable determination issued?										-

ANALYSIS OF BENEFITS RIGHTS INFORMATION (Interstate Information Packet)

		Date
		Reviewer
===		
<u>Obt</u>	ain a claimant informational packet.	
1.	Does packet contain the following:	
	a. Claim filing procedures?	Yes No
	b. Copy of claim form and completion instruction?	Yes No
	c. Information covering State's policies and legal considerations that the claimant should know?	Yes No
	d. Special requirements of the State?	Yes No
	e. Benefit Rights Information?	Yes No
2.	Is the packet computer generated and sent to the claimant upon receipt of telecommunicated IB-1 without IB staff intervention?	Yes No If "Yes", please explain how and when mailed:
3.	Is the packet manually generated immediately upon receipt of the telecommunicated IB-1?	Yes No If "Yes ", please explain how and when mailed:
4.	If "No", to questions 1 and 2, explain exactly how packet is produced and mailed to claimant. Include the amount of time it takes between the date of receipt of claim and date packet mailed.	Explanation:
5.	If packets are generated at the time of a monetary determination, are packets generated with ineligible monetary determinations?	Yes No If "No", what and how is information and forms provided for use during the appeal period?
===		72222222222222222222222222222222222222

ANALYSIS OF ELIGIBILITY REVIEW PROGRAM (Forms IB-10 and IB-10A)

	~~~====						Rev	iewe	r	<del></del>	
	(Enter name & social security no.)			i				     			
ELI	GIBILITY REVIEW SCHEDULING PROCESS view State's procedures for the IB-1	1 0 and	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		10
1.	On what percentage of weeks claimed are ER interviews scheduled?	Per	cent	ERI	s scì	nedu.	led:				*
2.	On what percentage of interviews scheduled is verification of work search requested?	Perc	ent	ver	ifica	it io	n red	ques	ted:		3
3.	What criteria is used to select claimants for ERI?										
4.	Upon receipt of the Initial claims IB-10:										
	<ul><li>a. Were all potential issue(s) on the IB-10 identified and resolved?</li><li>b. Were claimant's records flagged for ERI according to liable State's procedures?</li></ul>										
5.	Eligibility Review Interview:  a. If documentation of ERI indicate an issue(s), was issue(s) resolved?		1								
	b. If the claimant returned Form IB-10A indicating a return to work, was the employer contacted to verify accuracy of return date?					-					
	c. If no response to Form IB-10A was received within 14 days, and there was no break in the claims series, was appropriate action taken?										
	d. Was follow-up interview scheduled according to agent State's recommendation?					-					
	e. If the agent State did not verify work search as requested, did the liable State follow-up?	İ									

### PRE-EXHAUST AND PRE BENEFIT YEAR END NOTICES

	Review	er
a.	Does the State issue a Pre-Exhaustion Notice?	YesNo
	(1) If "Yes", when balance reaches what amount?	X VBA
b.	Is Pre-Exhaustion Notice automatically computer generated?	YesNo
. Pre	-Benefit Year Ending Notice	
a.	Does the State issue a Pre-BYE Notice?	YesNo_
	(1) If "Yes", enter number of weeks before BYE	week
. Rev	iew of Notices	
sta	State uses the above notices, review each notice to determin tus information is clearly stated.  Is information provided on the Pre-Exhaust Notice clear?  (1) If "No", explain	YesNo
b.	Is information provided on the Pre-BYE Notice clear?  (1) If "No", explain.	
<b>b.</b>	(1) If "No", explain.	
b.		
	(1) If "No", explain.	

### ANALYSIS OF INTERSTATE MEMORANDUM (ELECTRONIC MESSAGES AND FORM IB-13)

							Date				
							Rev	i ewe	r		
	ectronic Messages Review 20 recent	me:	ssag	es r	ecei	ved.	===:	===:		===:	==
a.	Describe procedures for insuring daily.		t in	comi	ng ma	essa	ges (	are	revi	ewed	
											_
						İ					
(E	nter social security no.)		   			   			   	! ! !	
:		  ==	•		•						
		1  ==		3  ===							
b.	Enter date message received	<u> </u> _	 		 	<u> </u>	 			-	   
c.	If memorandum required an action, was action taken?	<u> </u>	 	!		!				!	  -
	(1) How many days from date message received to date action taken?	<u> </u>  -			     	       					    -  -
đ.	If memorandum required a response, was response provided?	     				   		İ			
	(1) How many days from date message received to date of response?	     				} } }		·			
	(2) Was response clear and concise?	i    -  -			]   						Ī

### TELEPHONE INQUIRIES

	Date
===	Reviewer
1.	
2.	Is the line(s) used to receive calls a direct line to the liable Unit (or unit that handles inquiries), or are calls transferred by switchboard operator?
3.	Enter the hours: From:am/pm To:am/pm. Number of days per week that incoming claimant calls are answered:
4.	Are claimant information lines answered by: Person?Answering Machine?
5.	If the person answering is unable to answer claimant's question, is the call directed to staff capable of responding to the inquiry?
6.	Are responses to claimant inquiries usually provided at the time of the incoming call? Yes No
	a. If "No", does State follow procedures to insure a response is provided within 24 hours? Yes No
7.	Are telephone inquiries logged with inquiry and response date? YesNo
	a. If "Yes", obtain the log(s) for the date one week prior to today's date and complete the following:
	Number of calls received: Number of no response within 24 hours:
8.	OBSERVE 5 incoming calls and complete the following:
	a. Subject of call:
	Response provided was clear, concise and understandable: Yes No
	In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements: Yes No
	b. Subject of call:
	Response provided was clear, concise and understandable: Yes No
	In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements: Yes No

### TELEPHONE INQUIRIES

### continuation

Response provided was clear, concise and understandable: Yes No  In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements: Yes No  d. Subject of call:  Response provided was clear, concise and understandable: Yes No  In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements: Yes No  e. Subject of call:  Response provided was clear, concise and understandable: Yes No  In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements: Yes No	22222			======
In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements: Yes No  d. Subject of call:	c.	Subject of call:		
d. Subject of call:  Response provided was clear, concise and understandable: Yes No  In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements: Yes No  e. Subject of call:  Response provided was clear, concise and understandable: Yes No  In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements: Yes No		Response provided was clear, concise and understandable:	Yes	No
d. Subject of call:  Response provided was clear, concise and understandable: Yes No  In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements: Yes No  e. Subject of call:  Response provided was clear, concise and understandable: Yes No  In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements: Yes No		In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements:	Yes	No
In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements: Yes No  e. Subject of call:	đ.			
e. Subject of call:		Response provided was clear, concise and understandable:	Yes	No
e. Subject of call:  Response provided was clear, concise and understandable: Yes No  In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements: Yes No		In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements:	Yes	No
In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements: Yes No	e.			
		Response provided was clear, concise and understandable:	Yes	No
		In compliance with State's Privacy Act requirements:	Yes	No
				222222

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION MONETARY DETERMINATION/WAGE CREDIT TRANSFERS (FORM IB-14/Claimant letter)

							Date	·			
							Revi	lewe	·		
===:					7		- T				
	(Enter social security no.)										
===		   ==	===	===	===	===	222	===	===	===	==
		1   ==	2 ===	3  ===	4	5 ===	6 ===	7 ===	8  ===	9   ===	10
1.	Enter date request received.	! !									
2.	Enter date of response, redet or appealable determination.	ļ 									
3.	Enter number of lapse days from items 1 to 2.	   									
4.	Was request responded to or referred to proper unit for action within 48 hours of receipt?	   								·	
5.	Was appropriate action taken on request?	   	   								
6.	If a redetermined monetary or an appealable determination was not issued, was request further processed as an appeal?										
	*=====================================								نــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		

COMMENTS/NOTES

### INTERSTATE CHANGE OF ADDRESS (IB-16 or Other)

			Da	te						
			Re	view	er					
		Ţ	-	1			!	· · ·		_
(Enter name & social security no.)		1 	    -	  -  -			   			
	  ==  1	  ===   2	  ===   3	  ===   4	  ===   5	===	  ===   7	  ===   8		  ==  10
	== 	===   	=== 	=== 	===	===	==	=== 	===	==
1. Was the address of record correct based on most recent address provided by claimant certification or IB-16?	! ! !		   							
2. If IB-16 was used:	   	   	   							
a. Was it signed by claimant?	<u> </u> _	<u> </u>	<u> </u>							
b. Was it signed by claimstaker?	<u> </u>	<u> </u>								  (
3. If address change was received directly from the claimant, was the claimant instructed to report to the agent State office?										
a. If "Yes", does record indicate that claimant reported as instructed?									 	!
b. If "No", was any action taken by liable State?										! !
4. Does the agent State FIPS CODE of record agree with that of most recent correspondence from agent State?					!	. ! . ! !			!	    - !
5. Does resident State FIPS CODE agree with claimant's address?							 			   
6. Is the agent State of record appropriate or reasonable based on claimant's residence address?					!		!	!		    -

### INTERSTATE APPEALS (IB-101/Request for Reconsideration)

							Date	e			
							Rev	iewe	r		
1.	Does State require request for recons	ide	ratio	on be	efor	apı	eal		es		
===:		_	<u> </u>						· · · · ·		
	(Enter name & social security no.)										
===:		  ==  1  ==	  ===   2  ===	3	4	5	6	7	=== 8 ===	9	10
2.	Enter date protest received.	! !	<u> </u>					 			 
3.	Enter date redetermination issued.	<u> </u>		_			-	<u> </u>			
4.	Enter number of days between date protest received and the date redetermination issued.	     					·	   			
5.	If no redetermination was issued, was request for reconsideration processed as an appeal?	     									
6.	If redetermination issued, enter date subsequent protest received.	! !					 				
7.	Enter date appeal documents forwarded to Appeals Unit.	! ! !					 				
8.	Enter number of days between item 2 or 6 and 7, as appropriate.	   									
9.	Enter date Appeals decision issued.	 									
10.	Enter number of days between item 2. or 6 and 10, as appropriate.										   

COMMENTS/NOTES:

### INTERSTATE APPEALS

					Date	_
		·			Reviewer	_
			=======================================	:=====================================		=
1.	for lower	authority dec	ermine if the St cisions issued f evaluation (Col	or the 6-month p	als Promptness was me period immediately 0, 410, & 420):	t
		60% w	ithin 30 days	YesNo		
			ithin 45 days	YesNo_	<del>-</del> -	
	preceding	the month of	information for the evaluation. ther evaluation	If State met :	riod immediately Standard during prior	
		Total	Number of	Number of	Interstate	
		Cases	Interstate	Intrastate	Percentage	
30	Days			· .		
45	Days					
45	Days			<del></del>		
	======= MMENTS/NOTE	======================================		**============		=
	LEIGHTS! NOTE	<b>.</b>				

L-22

### INTERSTATE BENEFIT PAYMENT CONTROL

				Dut	··	
				Rev	iewer:_	
===	====:		Intra	state	Inter	state
			VBC			
			<u>YES</u>	NO	<u>XE2</u>	<u>NO</u>
1.	Has	the State implemented PL 99-272?				
	a.	For interstate offset?				
	b.	For interstate cross-programs?			-	
	c.	If "No", is legislation required?				
	<b>d.</b> ,	If "Yes" to 'c' above, has SESA proposed leg	islat	ion?	-	
	e.	Has the State identified specific staff to hactivities relating to interstate offsets?	andle		<del></del>	·
2.	Int	erstate Crossmatch (Wage and Benefit)				
	a.	As a requesting State:				
		(1) Did State participate in most recent cr	ossma	tch?		
		(2) Did State duplicate any crossmatch requistates bordering claimant's agent State?	est t	•		
		(3) What criteria did State use to select r crossmatch (# of weeks/amt. of earnings)? (				
		(4) Did State broadcast all or some crossma records?	tch		· .	
		(5) If "Yes" to "(4)", what criteria was us select records for broadcast?  Describe:				
			1.	<del></del>		

### INTERSTATE BENEFIT PAYMENT CONTROL

### Continuation

	(6) Did you receive "hits" that did not conform to request (i.e. wages for wrong quarter, wages reported less than parameter set in request, etc.)?	 
	(7) If "yes" to "(6)", what action did State take?  Describe	
<b>b.</b>	Did the State use Internet transmission for:	
	(1) Request records?	 
	(2) Response records?	 
c.	As a responding State:	
	(1) Did you comply with the parameters defining "hits" set by each requesting State?	
	(2) Were crossmatch requests matched with both wage and benefit files?	 . <del></del>
. <u>Int</u>	erstate Locator (Outstanding overpayments)	
a.	Did State participate in most recent interstate locator match?	 
<b>b.</b>	What criteria did State use to select cases for locator request? (Describe)	
c.	What criteria was used to limit the number of cases included in the locator request?(Describe)	
d.	When "hits" are received, what action does the State take? (Describe):	
e.	Were locator request matched with both wage and benefit files?	 ··
f.	Did response data conform to the prescribed format?	 

### INTERSTATE BENEFIT PAYMENT CONTROL

### Continuation

Date

			Reviewer
SSA NUMBER	AGENT STATE	"HIT" STATE	ACTION TAKEN
1.			
2			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.		,	
7			
8.			
9		,	
10.			
11.			
12.		•	
13.			
14.			
15.			

COMMENTS